



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/790,210

03/02/2004

Hiroyuki Kinemura

040096

1019

23850 7590 10/18/2007
KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP
1420 K Street, N.W.
Suite 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

HENN, TIMOTHY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/18/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/790,210		KINEMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Timothy J. Henn		2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 8 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 03 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 2, Applicant argues that Shibata does not disclose an optical axis of the lens which crosses to the front side surface of the main cabinet (p. 7). While Shibata does not disclose this limitation as argued, it is noted that the rejection of claim 2 is based on Evans in view of Shibata. Evans as can be seen in Figure 12 shows a lens 18 whose optical axis crosses the front side surface of the main cabinet as claimed. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans (US 5,973,915) in view of Shibata et al. (US 2001/0004269).

[claim 2]

Regarding claim 2, Evans discloses an electronic image pickup apparatus comprising: a main cabinet service as a handle portion (Figure 12, Item 15); a display cabinet having a monitor and attached to the main cabinet as overlapping with the main cabinet (Figure 12, Item 9); a lens provided in the main cabinet for picking up an image (Figure 12, Item 18 and 110; Figure 13, Item 113; c. 5, ll. 50-61) the main cabinet and the display cabinet being pivotal within a plane generally perpendicular to an optical axis of the lens with one end of the main cabinet being connected to one end of the display cabinet (e.g. Figure 13) and a lock device provided between the main cabinet and the display cabinet for holding the display cabinet at a position with respect to the main cabinet (Figure 2; Figure 7, Items 58 and 60; c. 4, ll. 29-37). Evans further discloses an optical axis of the lens which is aligned with a pivot center axis of the display cabinet (Figures 12 and 13), an image pickup device located behind the lens (c. 6, ll. 16-26; CCD) and the optical axis of the lens crossing to the front side surface of the main cabinet (Figures 12 and 13; note that optical axis of the lens intersects the front side of the cabinet 15). However while Evans discloses a display cabinet, but does not disclose a display cabinet having a proximal portion with a pivot center axis and a free end portion pivotal with respect to the proximal portion about a pivotal support shaft wherein the monitor is provided on the free end.

Shibata discloses a portable electronic image pickup apparatus in which a display and main cabinet portion are pivotal with respect to each other wherein the display portion includes a proximal portion, pivotal support shaft and free end including a display (Figure 3, Items 31, 32, 20 and 21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a display portion as taught by Shibata in the device of Evans to allow more options for positioning the display portion with respect to the main cabinet portion by allowing the display to pivot on a second axis.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 4-6 and 8 are allowed.

[claims 1, 4-6 and 8]

Regarding claims 1, 4-6 and 8, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an electronic image pickup apparatus including a main cabinet and a display cabinet which are pivotal within a plane generally perpendicular to an optical axis of a camera provided on either the main cabinet or the display cabinet wherein the optical axis of the lens of the camera is aligned with the center axis of the display cabinet and wherein the image pickup device is supported so as to be pivotal unitarily with the display cabinet as claimed. While it is known in the prior art to include cameras on a display cabinet which is pivotal with respect to a main cabinet, the prior art does not teach a camera pivotal unitarily with a display cabinet in which the optical axis of the lens is aligned with the pivot center axis of the display cabinet.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJH
10/2/2007



LIN YE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER